

CAN WE EVER BE A NATION?

(Continued from Page 1, Column 3)

longer have the vitality to fight a worthwhile battle. Today they tend to huddle together for protection from the chill winds of Paganism. Today the U.S.A. is a Pagan nation.

Yes, indeed. We began as a Christian nation; we are now a subjugated Pagan nation under Jewish rule, a mere satrapy of the Jewish system of subjugated Goy nations. How did this happen?

We must give the WASP a low mark when it comes to racial loyalty. Today the rich WASPS are tightly allied to the Jews. Long ago, the WASPS imported the Negro to compete with the poor of their own race. The WASP is the most savage race-discriminator in the country. He does not, as some people think, discriminate against Negroes or Jews; on the contrary, the rich WASP today is tightly allied to Negroes and Jews. He discriminates against the poor of his own race. God help the poor hillbilly in Detroit! He will never get a job if they can find a Negro, Jew, Hottentot, Zulu, Punyan Dyke, Chinese, Japanese, or Mars-man who wants the job. A WASP-owned book publishing house in New York will publish only Jewish novelists.

Oh! The awful WASP class war!

The rich WASP, seeking working men of his own race, will always mutter, "Dirty Reds!" The best thing to do is to interbreed them with Negroes. This will make more "Niggers" available for the plantations of Detroit and Pittsburgh. Politically, it will also make the rich WASPS a head without a body. They are not building a racial state, as defined by Hitler. The U.S.A. envisioned by them will not be an organization of physically and spiritually homogeneous beings, with a state leading them to full realization of their potential. Southern whites, for example, have been very disappointed in the failure of WASP politicians to protect them against race-mixing. Why should they be surprised? The politicians represent the very interests which brought the Negroes to America in the first place. The typical Red-neck politician would sell his mother to a Negro for a dollar. He does not represent a racial interest; he represents an economic CLASS interest; and that interest is against the poor whites, i.e., all of us whose yachts are less than a hundred feet long . . .


He is loyal to a CLASS, not to a race. He is a Bourgeoisie. The Jews are the old original Bourgeoisie. It is only natural for the Jews and the rich WASP to ally themselves along class lines, against the other social classes, and this is what they have done. The rich Jew-WASP alliance is "The Establishment." Today this Establishment is driving the U.S.A. straight toward the oblivion of Nineveh and Tyre. It was the Jew-WASP alliance which loosed Communism upon the world, which financed the October Revolution in Russia, backed Mao in China, while at the same time they ruthlessly "Red-baited" the American working man. For seventy years they have backed Communism secretly around the world, while at the same time they have mouthed pious "anti-Communist" platitudes.

This sort of whoremongering has brought the Liberal - Bourgeoisie age squarely to its end. Any salvation we may find in the future will have to be NON - BOURGEOISIE, which means in practice that it will have to be based upon an ARISTOCRATIC principle. That is to say, it will have to be based upon RACE, upon the concept of a Society which is to further the well being of a group of homogeneous creatures and to develop the best that can be found in them. The European races of America are perhaps capable of being formed into such a racial group; but they totally lack leadership and intellectual direction.

The American Bourgeoisie are like Lot's wife in the Bible. They forever turn back to the old Bourgeoisie, Sodom and Gomorrah and are quickly frozen into a political pillar of salt. If we are to have any future, it cannot be a Bourgeoisie future. The Bourgeoisie era is at an end.

Oh, Brother! Try to tell THAT to the prosperous American Bourgeoisie who has

What Is Condition of Civilization in America Today?



Leading Culture Distorters on American Scene Today

ARTHUR GOLDBERG ✕ former U.S. Ambassador to the UN, notorious Marxist, N.Y. Senatorial candidate, currently being groomed for Vice President, who said: "My view is that America is not fighting to win a war . . . the purpose of war is not to annihilate an enemy but to get him to mend his ways." SAUL ALINSKY ✕ self-styled professional radical, fans the flames of racial violence by such statements as "Freedom cannot be given, it must be taken." . . . "Irreverence is basic to a free society." ALLEN GINSBERG ✕ yippie leader, another master of the erotic imagery with his vulgar would-be poetry (recently spoke at St. Peter's, Jersey City, once a Catholic college). Told Playboy magazine: "I cut myself off from all women because I

was afraid I'd discover my mother in them." Once stated: "I wish I knew who . . . if anyone knows, who I am or what I am." RALPH GINZBURG ✕ whose filthy books revert to the lowest forms of animalizing. Indicted on 19 obscene advertising counts. His magazines include Fact, Eros, Housewife's Handbook on Selective Promiscuity. MORRIS ABRAM ✕ president of American Jewish Committee, as Pres. of Brandeis Univ. publicly referred to the rioters (presumably even the vermin who occupied his own building for 10 days) as engaged in a "genuine revolution" to become "true citizens of the world without boundaries" because "they have observed well the ideals we taught them."(!)

just moved into a new \$60,000 home! He owns a "Jew Canoe" V-8 4-dr. sedan. He has money in the bank. How can we go about the business of telling him that the Bourgeoisie Era is at an end? He will cancel his subscription to COMMON SENSE and will subscribe to HUMAN EVENTS instead. Yet if he cannot see that there is something disastrously wrong with the society around him, he must certainly be blind, deaf, and dumb.

He blames his woes upon "Red Russians." To be sure, the Russians are formidable competitors. Nations have been competing for land, living space, and food supplies for six thousand years. So what else is new? What did you expect the Russians to be besides competitors? Did you expect perhaps that they would join the Lady's Aid Society and live happily and make no trouble forever after?

Still more vividly silly is the contention, maintained on every hand, that the problem of dealing with Russia is somehow intricately involved with our own domestic problems, especially such problems as labor relations and race relations. In fact, there is no valid linkup between these problems. The problem of dealing with Russia is entirely unrelated to our domestic problems. Decades ago, it pleased the employers to "Red bait" the working man. The elaborate fiction was created that the American worker's loyalties and interests were tied somehow to Moscow. The howling and whirling dervishes of Communism and anti-Communism created a popular mythology designed to be used as a political lever. The foundation of this myth was pure charlatanry. No competent policy maker anywhere even considers this myth. The men in the Kremlin make policy, on the same basis Cardinal Richelieu used in making policy; the same policies the Great Elector Frederick Wilhelm used, the same basic ideas of statecraft which moved Prince Talleyrand and Metternich. Nobody can base real policy upon the absurd ideological myths of our time.

What is the "issue" at stake in the controversy between Communism and anti-Communism in America? There is no issue. It is simply that the mass-media culture rules us by ideological manipulation.

What is wrong with the Bourgeoisie, that he cannot lead us safely through the crisis of our time? What is wrong is simply that he is a Bourgeoisie. He is a commoner. He is not a Noble. He just doesn't have the class. True, this class has quite a lot on the ball. When they came to power, there were no railroads, steamship lines, telegraph, telephone, electric lights, automobiles. Let us give the Bourgeoisie his due. His age has been a wonderful age. All the same, social classes are mortal. Changes in the class structure of society are dictated by historical forces so monolithic that the individual does well not to resist too hard. The Bourgeoisie should face squarely the fact that he cannot

save himself by his present tactics, by shouting his old slogans louder, by trying harder along the same old lines. The Bourgeoisie does not fall because he has "oppressed" people, as the New Left says. The people demand to be oppressed by an oppressor with real ELAN. Real CLASS. The Bourgeoisie betrays his low origins. He only wants to GET MONEY out of the people whom he oppresses. The masses will never put up with this for long.

The American Negro has a grievance.

Not merely that he is oppressed. There will always be oppressors and oppressed. The question of who will be oppressors and who will be the oppressed is always settled at sword point and never in any other way. The Bourgeoisie claims that he has created "Great Ideas" which conduce to freedom; this is utter poppycock. After some other man has won your freedom with a sword, you can play with ideas. The Negroes who came to America were already losers in Africa.

The grievance of the American Negro is not that he was oppressed, but that he was oppressed in a sloven manner. It is possible, even often desirable, for one race to control and oppress another. The oppressed have rights. They have the right to be oppressed by an Aristocrat with real class, who really can tribal wars.

They can, moreover, enforce this right. They can grab an incompetent oppressor and drag him down to their own level. You can do many things to an oppressed man: You may flog him, rob him of his labor, regulate the terms of his life. You ABSOLUTELY CANNOT afford to NEGLECT him. You must assume full responsibility for him. The Negro, supposedly emancipated, but actually cast out and neglected, was deprived of the disciplines and controls necessary for the proper regulation of him. This is a great cruelty. It is, indeed, just about the most obscene thing which could have been done. The Negro was not really free; the terms of his life were severely circumscribed; yet nobody assumed any responsibility for him.

The Bourgeoisie has never established any satisfactory relationship with the industrial working class, either. The Bourgeoisie Age is the age of Class War; the worker is not a person to be governed in an orderly and legitimate manner. He is a proscribed class enemy. University students training for management positions are taught to despise the worker, and at the same time to control him by devious ideological and technological means. The worker was really happier and better off in the days of the great Guilds, under the rule of Princes and Dukes. Modern Bourgeoisie freedom is for the Bourgeoisie only. The Bourgeoisie must face squarely the problem of improving his relations with the working class. Perhaps the worker cannot raise himself to YOUR level; but he can pull YOU down to HIS level.

(Continued on Page 4, Column 3)